

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                    | F          | ILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/699,710   | 11/03/2003 |                | William E. Low       | QUES1-P2960         | 3554             |
| 21259  | 7590       | 07/13/2005     |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |            | D & ASSOCIATES | NGUYEN,              | NGUYEN, CHAU N      |                  |
| 3 CIVIC PLAZA SUITE 210<br>NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660 |            |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  | 22.1011,   | , >2000        |                      | 2831                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •  |   |  | $\Omega$ |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|----------|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | (I)      |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Commons  | 10/699,710  | LOW ET AL.   | <b>v</b> |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |          |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chau N. Nguyen  | 2831   |          |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet  | t with the correspondence ac   | ldress   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may<br>ly within the statutory minimum of<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) No.<br>e, cause the application to become   | y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this c a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |          |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 h  | <u>1ay 2005</u> .   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This   | s action is non-final.  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
|  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 10-22 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2,6-9,23 and 25 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 3-5 and 24 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>   | wn from consideration.  |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 May 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | drawing(s) be held in abey  | yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |          |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | ·   |  | • •      |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | ts have been received. Is have been received in rity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | n Application No en received in this National  | Stage    |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) 🗍 Intende  | w Summary (PTO-413)  |          |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Notice of References Gled (PTO-092)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/19/04.</li> </ul>  | Paper N   | lo(s)/Mail Date<br>of Informal Patent Application (PTC   | O-152)   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/699,710

Art Unit: 2831

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 2, 6-9, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Eichmann et al. (6,495,763).

Eichmann et al. discloses (Figures 5 and 7) a dielectric bias system for communicating a signal between a first electrical device having an output

Application/Control Number: 10/699,710

Art Unit: 2831

connection to supply the signal and a second electrical device having an input connection to receive the signal (not shown), the system comprising a first conduction path, dielectric material positioned along the first conduction path such that transmission of a signal along the first conduction path tends to change the transmission properties of the first conduction path toward a relatively steady state as the dielectric material is exposed to the signal, and a means for impressing a bias potential across the dielectric material, the bias impressing means including at least one conductor independent of the first conduction path (re claims 1, 8 and 9). Eichmann et al. also discloses the bias impressing means including at least two conductors independent of the first conduction path (re claim 2), the signal being audio or video (re claims 6 and 7), and applying an energy source to said at least one conductor (in operation, an energy source being transmitted through the at least one conductor of Eichmann et al.) (re claims 23 and 25).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 10-22 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 3-5 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,710 Page 4

Art Unit: 2831

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not teach or suggest a dielectric bias system comprising all the features as recited in the claims and in combination with the bias impressing means including an external energy source (re claims 3, 10,17).

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 23 and 25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,710

Art Unit: 2831

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chau N Nguyen
Primary Examiner

Chaudgun

Art Unit 2831